

19.10.2021

To :

Mr Nitsan Horowitz, Minister of Health (sar@moh.gov.il)

And all the members of the Coronavirus Cabinet (CC)

Mr. Naftali Bennett, Prime Minister and President of the CC

Mr. Yair Lapid, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@mfa.gov.il)

Mrs. Orna Barbirai, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@economy.gov.il)

Mr. Omer Bar Lev, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@mops.gov.il)

Mr. Benny Gantz, Minister and Member of the CC (minister@mod.gov.il)

Mr. Avigdor Liberman, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@mof.gov.il)

Mr. Gideon Saar, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@justice.gov.il)

Mr. Zeev Elkin, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@moch.gov.il)

Mr. Matan Kahana, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@dat.gov.il)

Mrs. Orit Parkash-Hacohen, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@most.gov.il)

Mme Yfat Shasha-Biton, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@edu.gov.il)

Mrs. Ayelet Shaked, Minister and Member of the CC (sar@moin.gov.il)

Mr. Nahman Ash, Director of the Ministry of Health, participant to the CC
(mankal@moh.health.gov.il)

Mr. Avihai Mendelblit, Attorney General of Israel, and participant to the CC (lishkat-yoetz@justice.gov.il)

Subject: Extremely urgent request to suspend corona vaccination due to risk of intergenerational impact not taken into account

To Mr. Horowitz and the members of the Corona Cabinet

1. For nearly a year now, the Ministry of Health has been pursuing a policy of encouraging the public to vaccinate against the corona with a Pfizer product, a policy that is accompanied by exerting heavy pressure on anyone who does not wish to be injected with the product.
2. In addition, since July 2021, the Ministry of Health has led a policy of applying pressure to receive a third injection ("booster" dose), ignoring the many warnings heard by experts around the world, and suppressing conflicting opinions of local experts.
3. To criticize this conduct, the Ministry of Health replies that all decisions related to vaccine policy and the pressure exerted on citizens stem from material considerations of risk calculation, in that a widespread outbreak of coronavirus in the population will cause even greater harm than the vaccine, health, economic and social.
4. Without discussing the correctness of this assumption, I would like to point out here that the most serious danger of the injections has not been taken into account at all by Ministry of Health experts, and has a huge destructive potential in relation to the dangers considered so far. Indeed, health experts will curse the benefit of the vaccine in the face of its side effects, and especially in the face of the side effects that are revealed in the foreseeable future (i.e. short and medium term).

5. However, the possibility of a biological effect of the Pfizer injections on future generations, including malformations, metabolic problems, cognition dysfunctions, etc., which may lead the entire State of Israel to an unprecedented severe crisis in the past, due to the high rate of current fertility and in the future, was not taken into account.
6. These problems have not received the due attention because RNA has not been used so far for vaccination, both in humans and animals. Therefore, there is no knowledge today that can dispel the real fear of this danger. On the other hand, there are many studies today that reveal that this danger does exist, is real and has destructive potential.
7. Here I briefly detail the element of danger:
 - 7.1. Contrary to the prevailing premise until recently, there is no complete separation between what is done in the body and what the reproductive cells pass on to the next generation. This is especially noticeable in the effect of drug use or exposure to hazardous substances on the offspring. Even paternal intergenerational transmission exists, which proves that it is not just an intrauterine environmental influence (known as *maternal effect*). Contrary to past conventions, it is now clear that sperm cells are indeed capable of transmitting changes that occur in the life of the father. ¹
 - 7.2. It also turns out that the substance that transmits paternal changes is none other than RNA that accumulates in the sperm cells. These RNA molecules are not formed by sperm cells, but are brought into them from the cells that feed them (Sertoli cells), which themselves receive the RNA from the various organs through the blood and lymph. ²
 - 7.3. The RNA molecules transmitted through sperm cells are of central importance for the beginning of early embryo development, and also determine the expression of DNA expression in the fetus, and later throughout the life of the offspring. ³ Therefore, any change in the RNA charge in sperm cells may affect the development and life of the offspring.
 - 7.4. Changes in the RNA charge may pass on to future generations, even without the presence of the cause that was the source of the change. ⁴ However, the presence of the factor increases the expression, and can even cause deliberate changes in the genetic material (DNA). ⁵ This hazard is especially real because the coronavirus is not going to disappear from the world, and its presence will become an increasing factor in the intensity of these intergenerational changes.
 - 7.5. The RNA molecules that accumulate in sperm cells are not usually formed from sequences of genes, but from other regions of the genome. However, researchers know today that RNA messengers (the protein encoders) also appears

1 Nilsson et al. 2020.

2 Sharma 2019, Perez and Lehner 2019.

3 Jung et al. 2017; Skvortsova et al. 2018; Acharya et al. 2017.

4 Bohacek and Rassoulzadegan 2020.

5 Boskovic and Rando 2018; Skinner et al., 2015.

in the RNA charge of sperm cells, in a processed form, and that they cause targeted changes to be passed on to the next generation. ⁶

7.6. Experiments on mice have recently revealed that a foreign RNA sequence generated in the animal's brain (through the introduction of the AAV-engineered virus that causes it to be produced), is found after several weeks in sperm cells and also appears in offspring. ⁷ This experiment shows that there is a clear and real danger of transmission to the next generation of RNA from Pfizer injections.

8. In light of these data (many of them published in the world's leading scientific journals), experts and researchers call for caution in any medical treatment by RNA, and warn of a possible deleterious intergenerational effect. ⁸
9. Therefore, it is clear that injecting a large amount of RNA into the blood, accompanied by acute substances that increase the body's response (which are also found in Pfizer's vaccine), poses a clear danger of transmitting this RNA to future generations through the gametes, and directly to the fetus (via Fallope's tubes, and later, passing through the placenta).
10. It is also clear that today there is no possibility of predicting what this effect will be. The only thing that can be speculated is the existence of a negative effect, given that the protein (spike) encoded by the injected RNA is itself toxic and provokes an acute reaction of the body.
11. Therefore, the Pfizer RNA vaccine against the corona poses a real danger to the entire fertile population (actual or potential). Since it is not known today what this effect will be, it is also not possible to know whether it will have a medical response in the future.
12. In light of the above, it is not clear on what basis the Ministry of Health decided to ignore the critical information of the effect of RNA on future generations. It is also not clear how the Ministry of Health decided to gamble on the future of the State of Israel and its citizens, without even knowing the magnitude of the danger.
13. I would like to believe that the decision makers in the Ministry of Health did not know at all about the dangers mentioned above when they set the vaccination policy based on the Pfizer company preparation. And if so, I expect the Ministry of Health and the members of the Coronavirus Cabinet to order the immediate cessation of vaccinations for the entire population, and to reduce its use to the at-risk population or to anyone interested in participating in this experiment.
14. At the same time, the limitations of the green pass (and other restrictions reserved to the unvaccinated) should be abolished immediately, since these restrictions constitute a pressure to apply the Pfizer preparation.

6 Soumillon et al. 2013; Skinner et al. 2018.

7 O'Brien et al. 2020.

8 Hanson and Skinner (2016, p. 6) concluded : *“The protection of future inborn generations from such risk must be a paramount consideration, raising a range of ethical as well as practical considerations. The situation is made more acute by the consideration that even if the inducing stimulus is removed, such as reducing the level of an environmental toxicant, the transgenerational phenotype and effects on disease risk may still be transmitted. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance thus has a range of implications for sustainable health and economic development in many situations.”*

15. If the Ministry of Health and the Coronavirus Cabinet do not act in this way within 48 hours, it will be inevitable to conclude that the Ministry of Health is well aware of these studies and has acted to conceal information from the public, endangering citizens' lives and betting on the future of Israel. Nor will it be inevitable to conclude that the members of the Coronavirus Cabinet have fully cooperated with this decision.
16. I hope that you will not let the public reach this conclusion, and that the Ministry of Health will act immediately to stop the disaster on the way. Otherwise, it does not seem that the citizens of the State of Israel will remain indifferent to such great and severe lawlessness.

Sincerely

Dr. Nissim Amzallag

Literature cited

- Acharya, S.; Hartmann, M.; Erhardt, S. 2017. Chromatin-associated noncoding RNAs in development and inheritance. *WIREs RNA* 8: e1435.
- Bohacek, J.; Rassoulzadegan, M. 2020. Sperm DNA: Quo vadis? *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology* 97: 123-130.
- Boskovic, A.; Rando, O.J. 2018. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance. *Annual Review of Genetics* 52: 21-41.
- Hanson, M.A.; Skinner, M.K. 2016. Developmental origins of epigenetic transgenerational inheritance. *Environmental Epigenetics*, 1:1-9.
- Jung, Y.H.; Sauria, M.E.; Lyu, X. et al. 2017. Chromatin states in Mouse sperm correlate with embryonic and adult regulatory landscapes. *Cell Reports* 18: 1366-1382.
- Nilsson, E.E.; Ben Maamar, M.; Skinner, M.K. 2020. Environmentally induced epigenetic transgenerational inheritance and the Weisman Barrier: The dawn of Neo-Lamarckian theory. *Journal of Developmental Biology* 8, 28.
- O'Brien, E.A.; Ensbey, K.S.; Day, B.W.; Baldock, P.A.; Barry, G. 2020. Direct evidence for transport RNA from the mouse brain to the germline and offspring. *BMC Biology* 18: 45.
- Perez, M.F.; Lehner, B. 2019. Intergenerational and transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in animals. *Nature Cell Biology* 21: 143-151.
- Sharma, U. 2019. Paternal contributions to offspring health: Role of sperm RNAs in intergenerational transmission of epigenetic information. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* 7, 215.
- Skinner, M.K.; Guerrero-Bosagna, C.; Haque M.M. 2015. Environmentally induced epigenetic transgenerational inheritance of sperm epimutations promote genetic mutations. *Epigenetics & Chromatin* 10:8.
- Skvortsova, L.; Iovino, N.; Bogdanovic, O. 2018. Functions and mechanisms of epigenetic inheritance in animals. *Nature* 19: 774-790.
- Soumillon, M.; Necsuela, A.; Weier, M. et al. 2013. Cellular source and mechanisms of high transcriptome complexity in the mammalian testis. *Cell Reports* 3: 2179-2190.